

OGMCOAL DNR < ogmcoal@utah.gov>

## Skyline- Midterm Completion Response (#5979) and Seismic Station Install (#6000)

Steve Christensen <stevechristensen@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 26, 2019 at 4:00 PM

To: Gregg Galecki < ggalecki@wolverinefuels.com>

Cc: Priscilla Burton <priscillaburton@utah.gov>, Todd Miller <toddmiller@utah.gov>, OGMCOAL DNR <ogmcoal@utah.gov>, Justin Eatchel <ieatchel@utah.gov>, Amanda Daniels <amandajdaniels@utah.gov>

Hi Gregg,

I'm sending a quick follow-up on our phone conversation just now to facilitate communication with the staff who are involved with the aforementioned tasks.

Task #6000, Seismic Station Installation, was returned deficient on October 24th, 2019. A due date was not established with that letter. Based on our conversation, the deficiencies outlined within this task for the installation of the 6th and final seismic station will be addressed with the Skyline midterm (Task #5979) which is due 12/31/19.

As a deadline for resubmission of Task #6000 was not established in DOGM's deficiency letter, I don't see the need for you to formally submit a letter withdrawing the task from consideration. What I would suggest is for you to simply respond to this email and confirm that I'm on the right track with this approach and that will suffice (i.e. the deficiencies identified in Task #6000 will be addressed with the midterm response).

As to the deficiency identified in the midterm review for the broader seismic monitoring plan, it's my understanding that the RB&G monitoring report will be submitted. In addition, the information required for the broader technical review of the 5 previously installed seismic monitoring stations, as well as the 6th and final seismic monitoring station, will also be addressed during the midterm (i.e. soils, wildlife, cultural, bonding, hydrology etc.). Was this your understanding as well?

From our conversation, it's my understanding that you have SHPO concurrence for the 5 previously installed seismic stations but not for the most recent 6th seismic monitoring station. Am I tracking that correctly? Unfortunately, SHPO concurrence is a box that we have to check in approving all of the seismic stations.

As always, let me know if you have questions.

Regards, Steve

Steve Christensen, Coal Program Manager Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining 1594 W North Temple, Suite 1210 Salt Lake City, Utah 84116 (801) 538-5350 w (385) 290-9937 c stevechristensen@utah.gov